BAKER & HOSTETLER COUNSELLORS AT LAW

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

WASHINGTON SQUARE. SUITE 1100 • 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE. N.W. • WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-5304 • (202) 861-1500

FAX (202) 861-1783 • TELEX 2357276

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER (202) 861-1580

RECEIVED

July 13, 1993

SEP 2 6 1994

BY HAND

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Martin R. Leader, Esquire Fisher Wayland Cooper & Leader 1225 23rd Street, NW Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20037-1170

Re: Scripps Howard Broadcasting Company

MM Docket 93-94

Production of Documents

Dear Martin:

The attached documents were inadvertently omitted from the documents produced on June 28, 1993 by Scripps Howard Broadcasting Company ("Scripps Howard"). The documents respond to the request for production as follows:

SH0010582 to SH0010598 respond to request (i);

SH0010599 to SH0010601 respond to request (j);

SH0010602 responds to request (e);

SH0010603 and SH0010609 respond to request (b);

SH0010610 to SH0010611 respond to request (e);

SH0010618 to SH0010621 respond to request (f);

SH0010622 responds to request (i);

SH0010623 to SH0010627 respond to resquest (b).

In addition, there exist two letters from Audience Research and Development (AR&D) to Bob Feldman, dated June 5, 1991 and June 19, 1991 regarding WMAR-TV's news programming. Neither of these letters relates to the substantive content of WMAR-TV's news programs. Instead, they relate to the presentation style of this news programming. The documents contain highly sensitive and Proprietary information that is not relevant to any issues in this

Federal	Communications Commission
Docket No. 9	3-94 Exhibit No. 30
Presented by	Four Jacks
	[Identified 9 18 94
Disposition	Received 9/8/94
	Rejected
Reporter Juli	Rain Chalty
Date 2/3	1901 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -

proceeding. Scripps Howard objects to the production of these documents. If production is sought, Scripps Howard will object to such production and ask the Presiding Judge for <u>in camera</u> inspection of the documents.

Finally, Janet Covington, the former public relations director of WMAR-TV who retired in December, 1991, at one time possessed personal notes that recorded various ascertainment meetings in which she participated during the relevant period. These notes were not retained in any files at WMAR-TV. Scripps Howard recently contacted Ms. Covington to ascertain whether she possessed any of these notes and determined that she did not.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Kenneth C. Howard, Jr.

Counsel for Scripps Howard

Broadcasting Company

cc: The Honorable Richard L. Sippel (without documents)
Norman Goldstein, Esquire (with documents)

Robert Zauner, Esquire (without documents)

EXHIBIT 1

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

SEP 2 6 1994



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

June 25, 1993

TO: DAVE ROBERTS

FROM: EMILY BARR

RE: REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS

Attached please find the original documents you requested earlier this week. The following items are included:

The Morning Show: Memos and personal notes regarding its development and implementation.

Personal Calendars: Janet Covington's original notes to me were prepared specifically for this license challenge issue but she did not save her actual calendar. Howard Zeiden no longer has his 1991 calendar either. The other individuals listed on the ascertainment interviews did not retain their personal calendars, however, their participation in these meetings can be substantiated, in virtually all cases, by checking either Arnie's, Maria Velleggia's or my calendar. In those cases, their names or initials were written alongside the names of the community/business leaders with whom we met.

News and Public Affairs Personnel: Job descriptions, qualifications (in the form of resumes, bio's, etc) and hours of work are included. These were taken from personnel files so you will not be receiving original documents at this time.

Tape Index: Attached.

cc: Arnie Kleiner Terry Schroeder Frank Gardner

Fe	ederal Communications Commission
Docket No	a. 93.94 Exhibit No. 31
Presented	by Face for page
	Identified 1718194
Disposit	ion Received X X 5 5 5 4
	Rejected
Reporter	Jane Moldy
Date	7/5/77

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

SEP 2 6 1994

Declaration of Emily L. Barr

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

- I, Emily L. Barr, based on my personal knowledge, state the following:
- 1. On February 9, 1994, I had a meeting with Stephanie S. Abrutyn, an attorney with Baker & Hostetler.
- At one point during our meeting, I opened one of the file cabinets in my office to look for a memorandum that I had sent to Baker & Hostetler in order to refresh my recollection as to the date that I had sent the memorandum and other materials to Baker & Hostetler. A copy of this memorandum is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. I immediately reached for the file entitled "MEMOS TO B & H" to look for the memorandum. The only things that I had personally placed in the "MEMOS TO B & H" file were copies of memoranda that I or Arnold J. Kleiner had written to Baker & Hostetler, without attachments. Any attachments to the memoranda were filed separately by subject matter in different files. Thus, in the course of previous searches of my files, I never looked in the "MEMOS TO B & H" file because these memoranda already had been sent to counsel, and, as I stated above, there would be no reason for any of the documents for which I was looking to have been in that file.
- 3. While looking in this "MEMOS TO B & H" file on February 9, 1994, I discovered a photocopy of the notes that Janet Covington had prepared and given to me in 1992 to assist my efforts to gather and memorialize information about WMAR-TV's ascertainment contacts between May 30, 1991 and September 30, 1991. A copy of these 1992

Federal	Communications Commission
Docket No.	3 94 Exhibit No. 33
	Touchache
	[Identified 7 19 94
Disposition	Received 1 894
·)	Rejected
Reporter 100	cea mi nucle
Date 1/5/9	<u> </u>

Covington notes is attached hereto as Exhibit 2. The copy of the 1992 Covington notes that I discovered also contained a cover sheet which was a photocopy of a "post-it" note that I had written. A copy of that "post-it" note is attached hereto as Exhibit 3. The copy of the 1992 Covington notes that I discovered also contains notations made by me while I was transferring the notes to the format provided to me by Baker & Hostetler, which eventually became Attachment E to my written direct testimony.

- 4. I do not recall ever making a copy of the 1992 Covington notes, nor do I know how the copy that I discovered ended up in the "MEMOS TO B & H" file. Until I discovered the copy that morning, I believed that I had discarded the 1992 Covington notes and that no copies of the notes had been made or retained.
- 5. I have attempted to recall how the copy could have been made or retained without my knowledge. As for the existence of the copy, I assume that I must have asked my secretary at the time, who is no longer employed by WMAR-TV, to copy all documents that were forwarded to Baker & Hostetler. I also assume that, at some point, she must have misfiled the copy of the 1992 Covington notes in the "MEMOS TO B & H" file rather than the proper file which contains copies of the original calendars used in this proceeding, a file which I had checked.
- 6. As for the retention of the copy, I turned over more than 10,000 pages of documents to Baker & Hostetler in connection with this matter, including immense volumes of exhibits. I had and continue to have no recollection of having sent the 1992 Covington

notes to Baker & Hostetler. When I examined the file in which the notes should have been retained and discovered that they were not there, I assumed that I must have discarded them, and I so testified at the hearing.

7. After my discovery of the copy of the 1992 Covington notes, Baker & Hostetler informed me that Ms. Covington's original 1992 notes had been found in their files. Prior to that, I believed that I had thrown those notes away. I still have no recollection of sending or copying the 1992 Covington notes, and as I stated above, it did not occur to me to look in the "MEMOS TO B & H" file for the 1992 Covington notes, or any other documents, because the only items that were supposed to be in that file were copies of memoranda, without attachments, that Mr. Kleiner or I had sent to Baker & Hostetler.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: February 15,1994

Emily LA Barr



June 25, 1993

TO: DAVE ROBERTS

FROM: EMILY BARR 4

RE: REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS

Attached please find the original documents you requested earlier this week. The following items are included:

The Morning Show: Memos and personal notes regarding its development and implementation.

Personal Calendars: Janet Covington's original notes to me were prepared specifically for this license challenge issue but she did not save her actual calendar. Howard Zeiden no longer has his 1991 calendar either. The other individuals listed on the ascertainment interviews did not retain their personal calendars, however, their participation in these meetings can be substantiated, in virtually all cases, by checking either Arnie's, Maria Velleggia's or my calendar. In those cases, their names or initials were written alongside the names of the community/business leaders with whom we met.

News and Public Affairs Personnel: Job descriptions, qualifications (in the form of resumes, bio's, etc) and hours of work are included. These were taken from personnel files so you will not be receiving original documents at this time.

Tape Index: Attached.

cc: Arnie Kleiner Terry Schroeder Frank Gardner

Sept 5 - 6 PM. Dunner honoring thing In men uformer head of Butt City Department of Source Services who were leaving to be deputy directory The Childrens Defence Fund en Washington D.C. (Shulymember of Channel 2's advery Commettee) Talked with Judge Poliet Watts aline youth crime and the new tops recreational after salvel actual for young george Sept 6. Int with Lieu Williams - Ex. aut.

Mod. Office for Handicapped Inline
about developing PS Ho to promot

compliance with handicapped

parking aparel - agreed the
also discussed other handisapped resuls + rollars for accounty medica through news + feature stres 3 cm. met with Jo and long from that Drug Commission to deven on - going local efforts of preventi Sept 10 9 - Fruel Dund - Long Range Planner Mtg. Discussed strategies & merces neturn on 36, E envelope campaigned military company Penn advertisen sugarted - Calcul- manya on one

3 PM. nuting with Penn advition + Ful tire Board members, Channel 2 art direct attended - trutful -Got pro- hors commetment - promue of 20 three-out and - Channel 2 ugues 5/da art work -5:30- meting of Humanelanun Denne Committee 7:30 - Minority Burners andin threatfast (en conjunction with Med. Chamber of Commune) - Centry Young speader - Workshops between by fair Channel 2 had table That breakfast Hom - Public relations committee nesting with Framily + Children - Sucreary wealthy for agency strategie dere and conditioning staff to recognize news slory pertential in services delineral To individuals + families September No 11AM - 7, met of several muching City Recycling program To Levelor 252

4

compaign + story ideas to alert people to pending curl aide secycling in Balt City and to encourage cityen garlingali Deaguest produce yets. Sept 16 - 6 PM - meeting y MCA Mentin Luce King B'fact Committee - decided to book Taylor Branch to be specielle Channel 2 to do PSA- also to ke a corpora Sept 17- 2PM- Rev. Dans/former- an AIDS viction Rev. Horner dedicating por lef to speaking out - Truget andunce young blacks - Worked on PSA menen Iwe arneed & produce in comment with a 501-63 non-probet Sept 19- 2 M. met with Ellen Koden again on storyboards + other aspects of lity a recycling campaign 6PM-NAACP limity Banquet Sept 24 - Town Keeting Crime - Studie ander - which Channel 2 usembled represented contacts with now rection of neighborho. and community wedl organizations that seflected the Leversety of the city and the commonality of the constrain

	pt. 25 -
\$1	Talked with Pets on Chule representation
	ne i promoten og Pets Walkelton -
	Developed passible feature slong lines -
1	
	agreed topsoduce PSA.
Se	5 26. 2:30 PM - Dawn Patral Meeting - to
	frame interpresent promise
	francop wenter series + promises strategies & guestintener & Annue
	Q
5.	pt 27 - Ful French Brand Muling
1.	
S	pt 28 - 9,30 - 430 - attended Melizolde
	Education Condition / Lary long Sature
	semmer - on educational concerns - fund
	Representative from all countres there
	and rate of excellent, chillenging spales
	for the all-attender presentation
	Electronilly serves of workshop leld.
	accessing the media
	8 PM - Lefesny - etalin sponsored
	J
· -	

and Chelden for sta w of needy m gips frielder PM NCCI Reception for Student Win Channel 20 Brotherhood / Sest 1-3 Discuted Black Chartes line mer - Recipients River M Lu June 30 - 1:30 Wet with Bill Klinger - at descue

Signed Scritter and campaign concepts grand Sem YWC. A. committee meding 10 AM Paling Committee - FFCM Inv. · 5:30 attended YM CA Drud Hill Ymetin station horner for special PS Aprolue and west with frequency. Doublill y credited PSA with sava represents mensuring memberships at minder newstate of city | addity - Holan Halpelliness Noon. Family - Children Bound meeting Limitedar Millim will fram Bair, Dorothy Cruz Canala greener of Balle lity flague of Women Voters see's Mayrall delate - Palas Voter Registration Countrium PS (-company (lack day "X day left 5 registration) 5 PM - With Clairie Committee recap of event - (station TV april - Fund Friend) and timetable for 12 loom the Extensión - Olum Orlin concert - Channel -2:15 Mitty Many Miller Lupus Francis

JANUT CONTON)

Original notes

to ma Regulary

appointments

As certainments

Shidid Not Shir Par organi

Chiedan

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

SEP 2 6 1994

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20554

To: The Honorable Richard L. Sippel Presiding Administrative Law Judge

OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO FILE AN APPEAL OF THE ORDER DENYING THE REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUBPOSNA DUCES TECUM

1. Less than three weeks before the hearing in this matter is scheduled to commence, Four Jacks Broadcasting, Inc. ("Four Jacks") requested that the Presiding Judge issue a subpoena duces tecum requiring National Broadcasting Company ("NBC") to produce documents that Four Jacks became aware of no later than July 16, 1993. The Presiding Judge appropriately denied this last-minute request because, among other things, Four Jacks could have sought the subpoens three months ago, and issuing it now could cause disruption and delay. Similarly, permitting an appeal to the Commission less than two weeks before the hearing is scheduled to commence would be even more likely to cause disruption and delay.

Markon 1	93.9	4 Eshibit N	ı. 33
	in Fo	m Jac	no.
٠		entified 98	194
• • •	itis 🥻 Re	ceived	·
,	R	ejected X 9/	8/94
Reporter	Mula	MIK	ilty
Date	2/8/94		Ì

introviation in the second

Four Jacks' Request for Permission to File an Appeal of the Order Denying the Request for Issuance of Subpoena Duces Tecum ("Request for Permission to File and Appeal"), therefore, should be denied.

- 2. According to Four Jacks, the basis for its subpoena request is the testimony of the Acting General Manager of WMAR-TV, Emily Barr, in her July 16, 1993 deposition. At that time, Ms. Barr testified that she contacted NBC for its records relating to certain programming that was broadcast on WMAR-TV during the license term. Ms. Barr also testified on July 16 that she did not retain copies of her written request to NBC. See Deposition of Emily Barr, excerpts of which are attached to Four Jacks' Request for Issuance of Subpoena Duces Tecum, at 108-09.
- 3. Four Jacks, therefore, was aware of the possible existence of the documents sought by the subpoena and that those documents were not in the possession of WMAR-TV over three months ago (and prior to the close of discovery in this matter on July 30, 1993). Yet, Four Jacks offered no explanation, either in its Request for Issuance of Subpoena Duces Tecum or in its Request for Permission to File an Appeal, as to why it waited until the eve of the hearing before requesting this subpoena.
- 4. Furthermore, an appeal at this time would likely delay resolution of this matter and, therefore, prejudice WMAR-TV. First, as the Presiding Judge pointed out in his Order denying Four

Four Jacks statement in ¶ 2 of its Request for Permission to File an Appeal that it has been told that "many documents relevant to this proceeding that should have been in Scripps' files . . . were either missing or destroyed" is entirely uncalled for and has no relevance to the pending issue.

Jacks' subpoens request, if a subpoens is issued to NBC, there may be a motion to quash that subpoens. This alone could disrupt the proceedings and cause significant delay. Second, as the Presiding Judge also noted, Four Jacks' subpoens seeks documents for a broad time period that may or may not exist. Even if the subpoens were issued, a search for the documents is likely to take some time and cause delay. Finally, the process of appealing to the Commission is itself time consuming, and is highly unlikely to conclude before the hearing in this matter is scheduled to commence. In fact, there is no way to be certain about the length of the delay that would be caused by granting Four Jacks' Request for an Appeal because the Rules do not prescribe a time limit within which the Commission must make a decision. In light of these factors, it is difficult to see how an appeal to the Commission would not, despite Four Jacks' claim, delay the proceedings.

5. Consequently, if Four Jacks' Request for Permission to File an Appeal were granted, the distraction and likely delay would significantly prejudice WMAR-TV in this proceeding.

WHEREFORE, Scripps Howard Broadcasting Company respectfully requests that the Request for Permission to File an Appeal of the order Denying the Request for Issuance of Subpoena Duces Tecum filed by Four Jacks Broadcasting, Inc. be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

Scripps Howard Broadcasting Company

By:

Kenneth C. Howard, Jr. Leonard C. Greenebaum David N. Roberts Stephanie S. Abrutyn

Its Attorneys

BAKER & HOSTETLER
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 861-1500

Dated: October 26, 1993

Certificate of Service

I, Ruth Omonijo, a secretary in the law of offices of Baker & Hostetler, hereby certify that I have caused copies of the foregoing "Opposition to Request for Permission to File an Appeal to the Order Denying the Request for Issuance of a Subpoena Duces Tecum" to be hand-delivered this 26th day of October, 1993 to the following:

The Honorable
Richard L. Sippel
Presiding Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W.
Room 218
Washington, DC 20554

Martin R. Leader, Esq.
Fisher Wayland Cooper & Leader
1255 23rd Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20037
Counsel to Four Jacks
Broadcasting, Inc.

Norman Goldstein Hearing Branch-Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, NW Room 7212 Washington, DC 20554

Robert Zauner
Hearing Branch-Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, NW
Room 7212
Washington, DC 20554

Ruth Omonijo

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

ISEP 2 6 1994

Declaration of Emily L. Barr

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS CLIMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRET ARY, State the

- I, Emily L. Barr, based on my personal knowledge, state the following:
- 1. I am Assistant General Manager of Station WMAR-TV, which is owned by Scripps Howard Broadcasting Company.
- 2. In October, 1993, Kenneth C. Howard, Jr., an attorney with Baker & Hostetler telephoned me and requested that I review my files again to ensure the accuracy of my deposition statement that I did not have the facsimile that I sent to NBC in August 1992 listing the issues about which WMAR-TV was seeking records.
- 3. In response to Mr. Howard's request, I immediately looked for and, to my surprise, located two facsimiles, in a file between other documents. The facsimiles that I located were the facsimile that Mr. Howard was seeking and the facsimile that I received back from Nancy Cole, Director of Archives, NBC News, attaching a sample of the type of information that was available. A copy of the facsimile that I sent to NBC is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and a copy of the facsimile that I received from NBC is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 4. Immediately after I located the facsimiles, I sent a copy of the facsimile that I had sent to NBC to Mr. Howard via facsimile. To the best of my knowledge, the date on the facsimile cover sheet and the line on the top of the facsimile received by Mr. Howard, see Exhibit 1, accurately represent the date and time that I sent him the documents.
- 5. Shortly thereafter, at his request, I also sent Mr. Howard a copy of the facsimile that I had received from NBC in